1/24/80

Bob Dunn Introduced by: Tracy J. Owen

Proposed No. 79-1215

VETOEL

1

2

6

7

8

10 11

9

12

14

15

13

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE relating to the King County Building Code; amending Ordinance 3647, Section 6, and K.C.C. 16.04.050 and adopting the "King County Energy Code" effective July 1, 1980.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Purpose. Adoption and implementation of the King County Energy Code will:

- Promote public awareness of the need for energy conser-1. vation.
- Commit the region to real, practical conservation measures in an area of known cost effectiveness, i.e., built into construction of new developments.
- Make a significant step towards reduced energy dependence in the future for our community by lowering the growth rate in energy consumption.
- Establish a record on concerted energy conservation efforts in this region on a cooperative basis, to demonstrate to the State and Federal governments that we will act on our own and should be given credit for it.
- Promote area-wide consistency in standards to minimize the confusion in the construction industry and to encourage other jurisdictions in their consideration of the Code for possible adoption.
- Permit alternative methods of meeting Code requirements in order to encourage innovative design and construction techniques.

SECTION 2. Findings. The King County Council hereby finds that:

- The Energy Conservation Comprehensive Plan Amendment adopted by Ordinance 3649 called for the development of a building code amendment for energy efficiency in new construction.
- The Council, in Motion 3804, called upon the King County Building Code Advisory and Appeals Board and the County Executive to review the Seattle/King County Code Study, and to recommend

a Building Code amendment for Energy Conservation.

- 3. The County participated in the code development process of the Seattle Task Force, and assisted in the analysis of the Code on energy use and economic impacts of the Code.
- 4. Cooperation between Seattle and King County in the code development process and in the adoption of comparable energy codes benefits the construction industry, minimized unneeded duplication of effort and public cost, and encourages adoption by other jurisdictions within the County area.
- 5. The King County Energy Code is the initial effort to establish a comprehensive set of building code standards for new construction. It is anticipated that the Code and the Design and Construction Practices Manual will require updating as new additions and modifications become available at the international, national, state and local levels, particularly in the areas of performance standards, ventilation standards, solar and renewable energy allowances, and delivered energy efficiency considerations.

SECTION 3. Supplements adopted amended. Ordinance 3647, Section 6, and K.C.C. 16.04.050 are hereby amended as follows:

The King County supplements to the adopted 1976 editions of the Uniform Building Code, Uniform Mechanical Code, Uniform Housing Code, and Uniform Code for the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings, are adopted as part of the Code (+7+) . "Chapter 53, Thermal Performance (Insulation)" of the "Official King County Supplement to the 1976 Uniform Building Code" is hereby repealed, effective July 1, 1980 and the King County Energy Code attached to this ordinance is hereby adopted, effective July 1, 1980, as part of the code; as such they constitute county regulation for any activity subject to the code.

SECTION 4. Inspection and Enforcement.

(A) Enforcement. The Manager of the Division of Building

and Land Development is authorized to enforce the provisions of
this Chapter and any rules and regulations promulgated thereunder,
pursuant to the enforcement and penalty provisions of Title 23
of the King County Code.

- (B) General. All construction or work for which a permit is required shall be subject to inspection by the Manager of the Division of Building and Land Development.
- (C) Authority. The Manager of the Division of Building and Land Development is authorized and directed to enforce this Chapter. The Manager of the Division of Building and Land Development is authorized to promulgate, adopt, and issue those rules and regulations necessary to the effective and efficient administration of this Chapter.
- (D) Plan reviews and inspections. All buildings constructed under the provisions of this Chapter are subject to a final inspection for compliance with this Chapter. The Manager of the Division of Building and Land Development has the authority to establish rules and procedures for accepting at the option of the applicant an affidavit of substantial compliance with this Chapter in lieu of plan reviews and/or inspections.

SECTION 5. Design and Construction Practices Manual. The King County Executive shall provide for the preparation of a design and construction practices manual to help building contractors, individuals building their own residences, professionals involved in building siting, design and construction and other interested persons, such as college students, understand and comply with the code by providing clear instructions and explanations of the code's requirements. A draft design and construction practices manual shall be available by April 15, 1980 to involved and interested building design and construction parties, including those of record who testified on the proposed code before the King County Council and its energy committee. The manual

shall be officially delivered to the King County Council by April 15, 1980 for review and comment. The Council will have until May 30, 1980 to indicate its recommendations on the draft manual to the County Executive. The County Executive's final version, as may be revised from the draft, shall be complete and available to the public by no later than June 29, 1980.

Q

The manual shall include or reference, but not be limited to, the following:

- (A) A manual format which is convenient to use, well indexed, flexible enough to allow the insertion of revisions and updates, with chapter number and title noted on each chapter page for ease of reference, and full reference on each page as to its revision number and date.
- (B) A statement of intent as to the conditions for and frequency of manual update.
- (C) A brief discussion of the key properties of energy, heat, R-values, U-values, first and second laws of thermodynamics and "delivered energy efficiency" (source energy).
- (D) A definitions section to assist the wide range of intended users in understanding the code's application.
- (E) Data on materials, systems, standard building types,
 County climate factors and variations, explanation of procedures
 for calculating heat loss coefficients (U-values), peak and total
 energy use and inclusion of tables and formulas now in the
 code.
- (F) Details of compliance, procedures and information for submitting building plans and specifications.
- (G) An explanation of the treatment of underground walls in building envelope calculations with allowance for the insulating value of soils.
- (H) Flexible guidelines to encourage passive solar collection and storage that are equivalent to code standards.

 (I) Calculation procedures for complying with Section 5305
"Building Design by Systems Analysis and Building Utilizing NonDepletable Energy Sources," the alternative design section of
the attached code, including a clarification of the terms "similate"
and "simulation" in Subsection 5305.03 (b) "Analysis Procedures."

SECTION 6. Consistency with State Standards. The County

Executive shall monitor and coordinate with the Washington State

Building Code Advisory Council and the appropriate Washington State

House and Senate Committees in its adoption of a state-wide thermal

efficiency and lighting code in order to present to the King County

Council by June 2, 1980 any needed amendments to the King County

Energy Code.

SECTION 7. Code Revision. The County Executive shall present to the King County Council by no later than December 31, 1981 an evaluation of the Energy Code's implementation and make recommendations for needed revisions. The evaluation shall include consideration of adopted County energy conservation policies, the effectiveness of one year's application of the Energy Code, the development and refinement of thermal efficiency standards at the international, national, state and local levels and the increased awareness of the need for achieving better energy utilitzation efficiencies in King County.

SECTION 8. Severability. If any provision of this ordinance or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of this ordinance or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected.

SECTION 9. The attached King County Energy Code shall take effect and be in force on July 1, 1980. INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 1st day of October 1, 1979. PASSED this 4th day of February, 1980. KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON ATTEST: VEAPPROVED this 6th



John D. Spellman

County Executive

King County Courthouse Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 344-4040

February 6, 1980

ס

دن

The Honorable Bill Reams Chairman, King County Council B U I L D I N G

Re: Ordinance #4735 - King County Energy Code

Dear Councilman Reams:

Attached is Ordinance #4735 which I have vetoed. I have done so with some reluctance since the County is in dire need of a new energy code and the Council has put a good deal of time into its consideration. Nevertheless, because of the emergency, both in terms of protecting our energy supply and protecting future consumers, I have vetoed the ordinance in order to allow all members of the Council to reconsider and vote on the issue. I urge the Council to pass the ordinance with the 100 per cent double-glazing amendments proposed Monday.

Enclosed is a summary sheet of the economic analyses consistently showing the cost-effectiveness of 100 per cent double-glazing, including calculations from the report submitted by the Master Builders.

We have every justification for requiring 100 per cent doubleglazing at this time. In addition to cost-effectiveness, the other factors which complete the case for 100 per cent doubleglazing are:

- 1. This area and its utilities need it to obtain maximum use of our increasingly short energy supply. Puget Power particularly is in a tight supply situation for the next 3-5 years, and any cost-effective measures that can stretch the slim margin of existing supplies must be implemented. Puget has requested the authority to require double-glazing and even higher insulation standards in all new electrical heat hookups.
- 2. All federally funded housing assistance programs require it, including most significantly both FHA and VA mortgage financing for new construction. Proposed federal standards (BEPS) are based on triple-glazing for our type of climate.

- 3. The National Association of Homebuilders has recommended double-glazing on a cost-effective basis for the Seattle area since 1977.
- 4. Most of the major home builders in King County are installing double-glazing exclusively today. Most of the windows manufactured for the Seattle market are double paned.
- 5. The recently published consumer preference survey, sponsored by the Seattle Master Builders, indicates that 81 per cent of consumers in this area want double-glazing in a new home even if it cost \$1,500 extra--compared to the more typical cost of \$650.
- 6. And finally, if a buyer was unlucky enough to buy a home with single glazing, current estimates based on actual installations indicate that the cost of retrofitting the prototype house with custom-made storm windows would be \$1,500. For all new double-glazed replacement windows, it would be in the range of \$2,500. There is little doubt that a homebuyer purchasing a house today with single glazing faces that burden sometime in the near future due to the ever-increasing real cost and limited supply of all conventional energy sources.

The action which I urge the Council to take is based upon the persuasively heavy preponderance of evidence. It is not a question of desirability but of necessity to responsibly manage our energy resource for the benefit of all residents.

Sincerely

John D. Spellman County Executive

JDS:b Enclosures

Ordinance #4735

Attachment A - Summary of Cost Effectiveness Analyses of 100 Per Cent Doulbe-Glazing

Attachment B - Glazing Requirements of Current Draft State Energy Code

KING COUNTY ENERGY CODE

COST EFFECTIVENESS OF 100% DOUBLE GLAZING

	CONSERVATION PACKAGE		: -	ECONOMIC IMPACT ON HOMEOWNER	•		
SOURCE	Double Glazing	Insulation	INITIAL COST DIFFERENCE	Payback (yr.) Return (%) Benefit:Cost	CON	MENTS	
John Graham Analysis for Seattle/King County Energy Code	50%	Existing	\$700	6.3 yr. 22.1%	348 sq. ft 15% of wal \$4.17/sq.	l area	
Seattle	50%	Existing	\$700	5.7 yr. 19.8%			
Seattle	100%	Existing	\$1,450	5.9 yr. 19.6%			
Ben Notkin Report for the Seattle Master Builders	100%	C:R-19 W:R-11 F:R-19	\$365	5.5 yr. No fuel escalation	over the a	results at 18.8% verage of actual	
		One the same two two can use use use use uses			heating bi 195 sq. ft. 12% of wall \$1.87/sq. f	of glass area	
Staff Update of the John Graham Analysis (using ½ of estimated energy saving)	100%	Existing	\$650	2.2 yr. Elec. 3.6 yr. Gas	348 sq. ft. 15% of wall \$1.87/sq. f Announced f rates	of glass area t. (Notkin Report) uel escalation	
Mathematical Sciences North- west Report to the State Energy Office (HB98 compared to Eugene Nodel Standards)	100%	C:R-38 W:R-19	(\$1,260) (\$780) Total	3.3 yr.	from 14.5% area Lower diffe	f window area to 9.6% of wall rence in total cost \$480 saving in	
Fair Electric Rates Now (FERN) Analysis of Ben Notkin Report (House #1 here only)	100%	C:R-30 W:R-11 F:R-19	(\$507)	4:1	195 sq. ft. 12% of wall \$1.87/sq. ft 4727 D.D. Extra ceilir cost includ	area g insulation	
Analysis for Oregon Energy Code	100%	C:R-19 W:R-11 F:R-11	\$335	5.2 yr.	192 sq. ft. 16% of wall \$1.74/sq. ft 4800 D.D.	of glass area	
1979 Oregon Energy Code Analysis	100%	Existing	\$421	4:1	348 sq. ft. 15% of wall \$1.21/sq. ft 4800 D.D.	area	
awrence Berkeley Laboratory Analysis for the Federal Building Energy Performance Standards	100% Triple Glazing	C:R-38 W:R-19 F:R-19	(\$1,051)	2.3:1 Elec. 1.1:1 Gas	Portland are National fue Assumes base	l pricing	
		· 			Prototype ho B:C based on option reco glazing		

DRAFT STATE ENERGY CODE STANDARDS FOR WALL SECTIONS A. Component Performance Approach - Wall Sections

TABLE 4-1

WALLS

Detached One and Two Family Dwellings Type R-1 and R-3 All Other Residential Buildings, 3 Stories or Less

Degree Days	U _O =BTU/H FT ² F	Concrete or Masonry U _o =BTU/H FT ² F		
	0	G ·		
< 5101	0.20	0.25		
5101-5900	0.19	0.24		
5901-6800	0.18	0.23		
6801-7700	0.16	0.21		
7701-7800	0.15	0.20		
> 7800	0.13	0.18		

B. Prescriptive Approach (Residential) OPAQUE WALLS

TABLE 6-1*

Minimum Allowed "R" for Ceiling and Walls

	Flat Roof D	ecks/Ceilings (1)		
Degree Days	$R = F H FT^2/BTU$			
(Less Than)<5900 5901-7500 (Over)>7500	R-19 R-24 R-30	(Installed R)		
	Walls (2)			
(Less Than) < 5100 5101-5900 5901-6800	R-11 R-11 R-15	(Installed R)		
6801-7700 7701-8700 (Over) >8700	R-19 R-19 R-19	11 11		

WINDOWS

TABLE 6-3

Maximum Percentage of Gross Exterior Wall Area in Glazing

DEGREE DAYS	PERCENTAGE 0%	OF GLAZING 50%	REQUIRED 75%	TO BE 90%	SPECIAL	GLAZING
(Less Than) < 5100 5100- 5900 5901- 6800 6801- 7700 7701- 8700 OVER 8700	11% 10% 11% 10% 9% 7%	15% 14% 15% 14% 12% 10%	19% 17% 19% 17% 15%	22% 20% 21% 19% 17% 14%		